UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/532,808 | 04/26/2005 | Yoram Palti | P-5488-US | 8892 | |
| 49443 7590 01/12/2009 Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP 1500 Broadway | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | SINGH, SATYENDRA K | | |
| 12th Floor New York, NY | 10036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1657 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/12/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/532,808 | PALTI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SATYENDRA K. SINGH | 1657 | |

| | | O/TITEITETOTTE GITTETT | 1007 | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| THE | REPLY FILED 24 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. 🛚 | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request | |
| a) | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. | |
| have under set fo may r | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strik in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months of the date of | |
| | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with NDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | Э |
| 3. 🛚 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, lack (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | ,, | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying the issues for | |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. 🗌 | | | mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324) | |
| 5. | • | | | |
| 6. 🗌 | | | imely filed amendment canceling the | |
| 7. 🛚 | | | l be entered and an explanation of | |
| ٨٢٢١ | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered t or other evidence is necessary and | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | |
| _ | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attached. | |
| 11. 🛭 | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: | |
| | Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | /Irene Marx/ | | |
| | | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1651 | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's current amendments to pending claim 22 raise new issue under 35 USC 112, and would require further consideration and search. The insertion of limitations "said device comprising a pH-sensitive color-changing material placed on a device's optical window and a magnetic element", "an external magnetic field which moves said magnetic element", and "moving"; and deletion of the limitations "causing' and "rotating said patient" would require several new considerations and a new search. In addition, applicant's response (see page 4, in particular) fails to point out specific support for current amendments to the pending claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's response (see remarks, pages 4-5, in particular) is primarily directed to claims that are not currently entered. In addition, since, applicants have not filed a Terminal Disclaimer over the co-pending application 10/524,553 (common inventor, same assignee), the ODP rejection of record is also maintained.